Higgs-type mechanism from lattice simulations with SU(2) gauge-Higgs model in two dimensions

Shinya Gongyo[1,2] and Daniel Zwanziger[2]
[1] *Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*
[2] *Department of Physics, New York University, New York, 10003, USA*
(Dated: March 3, 2014)

We study numerically the Higgs mechanism in two dimensions with SU(2) gauge-Higgs coupling. We calculate the static potential from Wilson Loop, W propagator and the order parameter related to a transition between the confinement-like phase and Higgs-like phase. Our results suggest that there is a confinement-like phase and Higgs-like phase, even in two dimensions. In the confinement-like phase, the static potential rises linearly with string breaking at large distances, while in the Higgs-like phase, it is of Yukawa type, consistent with a Higgs-type mechanism. The correlation length obtained from the W propagator has a maximum between these phase. Furthermore, the Higgs-like phase is expected to be of Kosterlitz-Thouless type in the Landau gauge, without spontaneous breaking of the global SU(2) remnant gauge symmetry.

PACS numbers: 11.15.Ha, 12.38.Aw, 25.75.Nq, 14.80.Bn

arXiv:1402.7124v1 [hep-lat] 28 Feb 2014

Introduction.—The Higgs mechanism, where the gauge boson acquires a mass from spontaneous symmetry breaking plays an important role in nature [1]. In a superconductor, the Abelian Higgs mechanism, known as the Meissner effect, appears and the magnetic field is expelled. In the standard model, there is the non-Abelian Higgs mechanism and W and Z bosons become massive.

The relation between color confinement and the Higgs phenomenon has also been studied from the perspective of the confinement-Higgs behavior [2–6]. In the SU(2)gauge-Higgs model, there are the confinement-like region and the Higgs-like region. In the confinement-like region, the static potential between the colored charges rises linearly until string breaking by pair production, while in the Higgs-like region it is of Yukawa type with a massive gauge boson [4]. However, gauge-invariant quantities may be continued analytically between the confinement-like region and the Higgs-like region because there is always a path connecting the two phases, according to the Fradkin-Shenker-Osterwalder-Seiler theorem [2, 3]. Those regions are also characterized by the breaking of the remnant gauge symmetry in some gauges [5].

To our knowledge, these studies have not been carried out in two dimensions. This case is of interest from theoretical and phenomenological viewpoint. From the Coleman theorem and the Hohenberg-Mermin-Wagner theorem [7–9], there are no Nambu-Goldstone bosons and no phase transitions except for the Kosterlitz-Thouless phase [10]. However, in the Higgs case, the Nambu-Goldstone bosons are "eaten" by the gauge bosons and there are no massless bosons and these theorems are evaded.

The aim of this letter is to investigate the phase structure of the SU(2) gauge- Higgs model in two dimensions. We calculate the static potential, W-propagator and the order parameter in the confinement-like phase and Higgs-like phase numerically.

SU(2) gauge-Higgs model.—We use the SU(2) gauge-Higgs model with the fixed length of the Higgs field in two dimensions. The lattice action is given by

$$S = \beta \sum_P \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}U_P\right) - \frac{\gamma}{2}\sum_{\mu,x} \mathrm{Tr}\left[\phi^\dagger(x)U_\mu(x)\phi(x+\hat{\mu})\right] \tag{1}$$

with $U_\mu(x) \in SU(2)(\mu = 1, 2)$ the link-variable for the gauge field, $U_P \in SU(2)$ the plaquette and $\phi(x) \in SU(2)$ the Higgs field of the frozen length. This action, with frozen length of the Higgs fields, is formally obtained from the unfrozen action,

$$\begin{aligned} S_l = {} & \beta \sum_P \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}U_P\right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mu,x}\left(\varphi^\dagger(x)U_\mu(x)\varphi(x+\hat{\mu}) + c.c.\right) \\ & + \sum_x \lambda'\left(\varphi^\dagger(x)\varphi(x) - \gamma\right)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

with $\varphi(x) = {}^t(\varphi^1(x), \varphi^2(x))$ in the SU(2) fundamental representation. When we rescale $\varphi(x)$ by $\varphi(x) = \gamma^{1/2}\tilde{\varphi}(x)$ and take the limit $\lambda' \to \infty$ with fixed γ, the radial degree of freedom for the Higgs field is fixed, $\tilde{\varphi}^\dagger(x)\tilde{\varphi}(x) = 1$. This action formally reduces to Eq.(1) with the SU(2) matrix, $\phi^{ab}(x) = (\epsilon^{ac}\tilde{\varphi}^{*c}(x), \tilde{\varphi}^b(x))$, although the limit may not be smooth.

In these models, there always seems to be the Higgs mechanism at the classical level. However if we consider the quantum effects, whether it occurs or not depends on β and γ [2, 4–6]. A similar discussion is given for the Higgs mass in Eq. (1). Though the Higgs field with the fixed length has an infinite mass for the radial degree at the classical level, it is not necessary infinite at the quantum level [4].

In our calculation, we generate $U_\mu(x)$ in unitary gauge, $\phi(x) = 1$, with the Monte Carlo simulation of the action

$$S = \beta \sum_P \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}U_P\right) - \frac{\gamma}{2}\sum_{\mu,x} \mathrm{Tr}\left[U_\mu(x)\right]. \quad (3)$$

We then obtain $U_\mu(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ in any gauge by gauge transformation.

static potential.—In two dimensional pure Yang-Mills theory, the Wilson-loop potential is exactly linear. On the other hand, if the Higgs mechanism occurs, the gauge boson becomes a massive vector boson and the potential behaves like

$$V(r) \sim -\int dp \frac{e^{-ipr}}{p^2 + m^2} \sim -e^{-mr}, \quad (4)$$

where m is the mass of vector boson.

We calculated the static potential between two charged sources from the Wilson loop :

$$V(r) = -\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln W(r,t), \quad (5)$$

where $W(r,t)$ is the Wilson loop defined as the product of the link variables along an $r \times t$ rectangular loop. The lattice calculation was performed on 1024^2 lattice at $\beta = 120$ and $\gamma = 2$ and 8. The static potential was extracted from the Wilson loop by varying the time direction and finding the convergent point of $-\ln W(r,t)/t$.

The static potential at $\gamma = 2$ and 8 is shown in FIG. 1 and the fit results of the form

$$-Ae^{-mr} + \sigma r + B, \quad (6)$$

with the parameter A, B, m and σ in the region of $r/a < 20$, are summarized in Table II.



FIG. 1: The static potential at $\beta = 120$ and $\gamma = 2$ and 8 in lattice units. The dashed lines are the fit results.

In FIG. 1, the potential at $\gamma = 2$ shows the linear potential and the string breaking at $r/a \sim 20$. In contrast, the potential of $\gamma = 8$ does not show the linear potential, but behaves like $-e^{-mr}$. Thus $\gamma = 2$ corresponds to confinement-like phase and $\gamma = 8$ corresponds to Higgs-like phase.

TABLE I: The fit results of the static potential by the fit form Eq. (6) for $r/a < 20$.

γ	m	σ	A	B	χ/N
2	0.19(7)	0.01221(5)	0.0015(7)	0.0015(7)	0.3
8	0.207(4)	$7(9)\times10^{-5}$	0.061(1)	0.060(1)	1.2

These behaviors are also supported from the fit results. Though at $\gamma = 2$ the mass parameter m is not small, the prefactor A is small and so the first term in Eq. (6) is negligible compared with the second term σr. At $\gamma = 8$, the string tension σ is almost 0, and it seems that the potential does not show a linear slope. However because the fit is for $r/a < 20$ and because of string breaking, the difference between the regions may be quantitative (though strong) but not necessarily qualitative.

W propagator.—We investigated another gauge-invariant quantity, the W propagator, defined by

$$D_{\mu\nu}(x-y) = \frac{1}{3}\sum_{a=1,2,3} \left\langle W^a_\mu(x) W^a_\nu(y)\right\rangle, \quad (7)$$

where

$$W^a_\mu(x) \equiv \frac{1}{i}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\tau^a \left\{\phi^\dagger(x) U_\mu(x) \phi(x+\hat{\mu})\right\}\right] \quad (8)$$

with τ^a SU(2) generator. By Eq. (8), the W field is gauge invariant and the effective mass for the transverse part of the W field corresponds to the two-dimensional analog of a 1^- state [4, 6], which is a singlet state with negative parity. Note that this propagator coincides with the gluon propagator in the unitary gauge, $\phi(x) = 1$.

The effective mass for the W field is estimated from the propagator $D^0_{\mu\nu}(t)$ at zero-spatial-momentum,

$$D^0_{\mu\nu}(t) = \frac{1}{3V}\sum_{a,x_1,y_1} \left\langle W^a_\mu(x_1,t) W^a_\nu(y_1,0)\right\rangle \quad (9)$$

with the two dimensional volume V. The effective mass of the transverse part is obtained from $D^0_{11}(t)$ and that of the longitudinal part is from $D^0_{22}(t)$ [11]. In this paper we estimated the transverse effective mass by fitting the linear slope of the logarithm of $D^0_{11}(t)$.

$D^0_{11}(t)$ on 256^2 lattice at $\beta = 120$ and $\gamma = 2, 4$ and 8 are shown in FIG. 2. The logarithm of these zero-spatial-momentum propagators seems to be almost linear or convex upwards, consistent with gauge-invariant fields that satisfy the Kallen-Lehmann representation, in contrast with the gluon propagator in other gauges like the



FIG. 2: The zero-spatial-momentum propagator $D^0_{11}(t)$ on 256^2 at $\beta = 120$ and $\gamma = 2, 4$ and 8 in lattice units.

Landau gauge and MA gauge [12]. In particular, the two dimensional gluon propagator in the Landau gauge shows notable violation of the Kallen-Lehmann representation [13–16].

TABLE II: The fit results of the zero-spatial-momentum propagator by $A_D e^{-m_D t}$ for $t/a = 4 - 15$.

γ	m_D	A_D	χ/N
2	0.36(3)	0.07(1)	0.8
4	0.243(4)	0.078(1)	0.5
5	0.240(2)	0.069(1)	0.1
6	0.265(5)	0.069(2)	0.9
8	0.282(1)	0.058(1)	0.08
10	0.304(2)	0.051(1)	0.2

In TABLE. II, we summarize for $D^0_{11}(t)$ the fit analysis of $A_D e^{-m_D t}$ with the parameters A_D and m_D for $t/a = 4 - 15$. The mass parameter m_D corresponding to the physical mass in lattice units decreases in the region of $\gamma < 5$, and increases in the region of $\gamma > 5$. In other words the mass parameter is minimum at $\gamma \simeq 5$, which means that the correlation is maximum and it looks like a phase transition or cross-over. This situation is similar to four dimensional case [4, 6].

Order parameter.—In some gauges such as the Landau and Coulomb gauge, the Higgs-like phase breaks the remnant gauge symmetries in four dimensions [5]. Thus there seems to be the Nambu-Goldstone bosons in some gauges. In two dimensions, symmetry breaking does not occur because of the Coleman theorem and the Hohenberg-Mermin-Wagner theorem [7–9]. Thus the two dimensional Higgs-like phase in some gauges is expected to be Kosterlitz-Thouless type, with polynomial fall-off of correlators.

We calculated the order parameter in the Landau gauge, which does not fix the global SU(2) symmetry. The order parameter is defined as the magnitude of the spatial average of the Higgs field,

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi^\dagger \Phi\right], \tag{10}$$

where Φ is the spatial average of the Higgs field,

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{V}\sum_x \phi(x). \tag{11}$$

Note that Φ is not invariant under SU(2) global-gauge transformations. If the expectation of the magnitude of this quantity, $\langle\Psi\rangle$, is zero, the global symmetry is not broken. Ψ is also not invariant under local SU(2) gauge transformations and thus if we do not fix the gauge, then $\langle\Psi\rangle = 1/V$ by the Elitzur theorem, which approaches zero in the infinite-volume limit [18].

In FIG. 3, we show the order parameter $\langle\Psi\rangle$ in the Landau gauge on $256^2, 512^2$ and 1024^2 at $\beta = 7.99$. Two regions of small γ and large γ appear. There appears to be a transition region around $\gamma = 5 - 8$. However from this data at finite volume we cannot conclude that there is a phase transition to a symmetry-breaking phase at infinite volume, especially because for every γ, $\langle\Psi\rangle$ decreases as the volume increases. It is likely that there is a transition to a Kosterlitz-Thouless phase in which the Higgs correlator decreases polynomially (instead of exponentially) and this will produce a finite value of $\langle\Psi\rangle$ on a lattice of finite volume. If the Higgs-like phase is characterized by a Kosterlitz-Thouless behavior, the order parameter is zero in the infinite-volume limit.



FIG. 3: The order parameter $\langle\Psi\rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\Phi^\dagger\Phi\right]\right\rangle$ on $256^2, 512^2$ and 1024^2 at $\beta = 7.99$

To investigate the transition region in more detail, we studied the volume dependence of the susceptibility at

$\beta = 7.99$,

$$\langle \chi \rangle \equiv V \left(\langle \Psi^2 \rangle - \langle \Psi \rangle^2 \right). \tag{12}$$

In FIG. 4, the susceptibility on $256^2, 512^2$ and 1024^2 lattice is shown. As the volume increases, the height of the peak increases and its width becomes narrow, while the peak point also moves. In four dimensions, the peak point does not move [5] and the behavior may indicate Kosterlitz-Thouless phase transition such as in Ref. [19]. However, in order to determine the nature of the transition, further numerical calculations are needed.



FIG. 4: The order parameter $\langle \Psi \rangle$ on $256^2, 512^2$ and 1024^2 at $\beta = 7.99$ in lattice units.

Summary.—We have studied the two dimensional phase structure in SU(2) gauge-Higgs model. We have carried out the Monte Carlo simulation and calculated the static potential at $\beta = 120$, the W propagator at $\beta = 120$ and the order parameter in the Landau gauge at $\beta = 7.99$. The static potential shows a linear rise and string breaking at $\gamma = 2$, and two-dimensional Yukawa potential at $\gamma = 8$. The effective mass of the W propagator in lattice units has a minimum at $\gamma \simeq 5$. These results suggest that there is a Higgs-type mechanism and a Higgs-like phase even in two dimensions. However according to the Coleman theorem and the Hohenberg-Mermin-Wagner theorem [7–9], there cannot be spontaneous breaking of the global SU(2) remnant gauge symmetry in the Landau gauge. We propose that in two dimensions, the Higgs-like phase is not characterized by spontaneous symmetry breaking but by a Kosterlitz-Thouless behavior in which the Higgs correlator falls off polynomially. The order parameter in the Landau gauge also indicates a phase transition to what is expected to be the Kosterlitz-Thouless phase.

The authors are grateful to A. Maas for suggesting this investigation. They thank P. Hohenberg, A. Maas, M. Schaden and A. Sokal for discussions and comments. S. G. is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the JSPS Fellows (No. 24-1458). The lattice calculations were done on NEC SX-9 at Osaka University.

[1] P. W. Higgs, Phys. Lett. **12**, 132 (1964); Phys. Rev. Lett. **13**, 508 (1964); F. Englert and R. Brout, Phys. Rev. Lett. **13**, 321 (1964).
[2] E. H. Fradkin and S. H. Shenker, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 3682 (1979).
[3] K. Osterwalder and E. Seiler, Annals Phys. **110**, 440 (1978).
[4] I. Montvay, Phys. Lett. B **150**, 441 (1985); Nucl. Phys. B **269**, 170 (1986); W. Langguth, I. Montvay and P. Weisz, Nucl. Phys. B **277**, 11 (1986).
[5] W. Caudy and J. Greensite, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 025018 (2008) [arXiv:0712.0999 [hep-lat]]; J. Greensite, S. Olejnik and D. Zwanziger, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 074506 (2004) [hep-lat/0401003].
[6] A. Maas, Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1548 (2011) [arXiv:1007.0729 [hep-lat]]; Mod. Phys. Lett. A **28**, 1350103 (2013) [arXiv:1205.6625 [hep-lat]]; A. Maas and T. Mufti, arXiv:1312.4873 [hep-lat].
[7] S. R. Coleman, Commun. Math. Phys. **31**, 259 (1973).
[8] P. C. Hohenberg, Phys. Rev. **158**, 383 (1967).
[9] N. D. Mermin and H. Wagner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **17**, 1133 (1966).
[10] J. M. Kosterlitz and D. J. Thouless, J. Phys. C **6**, 1181 (1973).
[11] S. Gongyo and H. Suganuma, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 074506 (2013) [arXiv:1302.6181 [hep-lat]]; S. Gongyo, T. Iritani and H. Suganuma, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 094018 (2012) [arXiv:1207.4377 [hep-lat]].
[12] J. E. Mandula and M. Ogilvie, Phys. Lett. B **185**, 127 (1987).
[13] A. Maas, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 116004 (2007) [arXiv:0704.0722 [hep-lat]].
[14] A. Cucchieri and T. Mendes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 241601 (2008) [arXiv:0712.3517 [hep-lat]]; Phys. Rev. D **78**, 094503 (2008) [arXiv:0804.2371 [hep-lat]].
[15] D. Zwanziger, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 085039 (2013) [arXiv:1209.1974 [hep-ph]].
[16] S. Gongyo and D. Zwanziger, manuscript in preparation
[17] A. Maas and D. Zwanziger, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 034011 (2014) [arXiv:1309.1957 [hep-lat]].
[18] S. Elitzur, Phys. Rev. D **12**, 3978 (1975).
[19] S. Teitel and C. Jayaprakash, Phys. Rev. B **27**, 598 (1983).